Bill

Received: 09/19/2000

Received By: traderc

Wanted: As time permits

Identical to LRB:

For: Administration-Budget

By/Representing: Walker

This file may be shown to any legislator: NO

Drafter: rkite

May Contact:

Alt. Drafters:

Subject:

Agriculture - miscellaneous

Trade Regulation - other

Extra Copies:

RCT RPN

**Pre Topic:** 

DOA:.....Walker -

Topic:

Civil forfeitures for product safety, household hazardous substance, and commercial feed laws

**Instructions:** 

See Attached

Draiting	History:						
Vers.	Drafted	Reviewed	Typed	Proofed	Submitted	Jacketed	Required
/?	traderc 11/13/2000 gibsom 11/16/2000						State
/1	rkite 12/08/2000	wjackson 12/12/2000	martykr 12/12/200	0	lrb_docadmin 12/12/2000		State
/2	rkite 12/15/2000	wjackson 12/18/2000	martykr 12/20/200	0	lrb_docadmin 12/20/2000		State
/3	rkite 02/07/2001	jdyer 02/07/2001	martykr 02/07/200	1	lrb_docadmin 02/07/2001		State
/4	rkite 02/14/2001	wjackson 02/14/2001	rschluet 02/14/200	1	lrb_docadmin 02/14/2001		State

FE Sent For:

### Bill

See Attached

Received: 09/19/2000	Received By: traderc
Wanted: As time permits	Identical to LRB:
For: Administration-Budget	By/Representing: Walker
This file may be shown to any legislator: NO	Drafter: rkite
May Contact:	Alt. Drafters:
Subject: Agriculture - miscellaneous Trade Regulation - other	Extra Copies: RCT
Pre Topic:	
DOA:Walker -	
Topic:	
Civil forfeitures for product safety, household hazardon	us substance, and commercial feed laws
Instructions	

Drafting	History.			······································			
Draiting	ilistory.						
Vers.	Drafted	Reviewed	Typed	Proofed	Submitted	Jacketed	Required
/?	traderc 11/13/2000 gibsom 11/16/2000						State
/1	rkite 12/08/2000	wjackson 12/12/2000	martykr 12/12/2000	)/	lrb_docadmin 12/12/2000		State
/2	rkite 12/15/2000	wjackson 12/18/2000	martykr 12/20/2000	)	lrb_docadmin 12/20/2000		State
/3	rkite 02/07/2001	jdyer 02/07/2001	martykr 02/07/200		lrb_docadmin 02/07/2001		State
FE Sent F	For:	/4 WLJ 2/14	2-14-1	<= Km <= N <=			

TO SI	
кп	н
$\boldsymbol{\nu}$	и

Received:	Received: 09/19/2000					Received By: traderc			
Wanted: A	s time permi	ts			Identical to LRB:				
For: Adm	inistration-B	udget			By/Representing: Walker				
This file may be shown to any legislator: NO					Drafter: rkite				
May Contact:					Alt. Drafters:				
Subject:		ture - miscellar legulation - oth			Extra Copies:	RCT RP√			
Pre Topic	<b>c:</b>								
DOA:	Walker -								
Topic:						,			
Civil forfe	eitures for pro	duct safety, hou	sehold haza	ardous substa	nce, and commerci	al feed laws			
Instruction	ons:	· ·							
See Attacl	hed								
	•								
***									
Drafting	History:			· 			<u> </u>		
Vers.	<u>Drafted</u>	Reviewed	Typed	Proofed	Submitted	Jacketed	Required		
/?	traderc 11/13/2000 gibsom 11/16/2000						State		
/1	rkite 12/08/2000	wjackson 12/12/2000	martykr 12/12/200	00	lrb_docadmin 12/12/2000		State		
/2	rkite 12/15/2000	wjackson 12/18/2000 /3 <sup>3</sup> / <sub>7</sub>	martykr 12/20/200	$\frac{cmt}{m} V$	/ lrb_docadmin 12/20/2000		State		

01/16/2001 09:47:28 AM Page 2

<u>Vers.</u> <u>Drafted</u> <u>Reviewed</u> <u>Typed</u> <u>Proofed</u> <u>Submitted</u> <u>Jacketed</u> <u>Required</u>

FE Sent For:

**<END>** 

### Bill

Received: 09/19/2000	Received By: traderc
Wanted: As time permits	Identical to LRB:
For: Administration-Budget	By/Representing: Walker
This file may be shown to any legislator: NO	Drafter: rkite
May Contact:	Alt. Drafters:
Subject: Agriculture - miscellaneous  Trade Regulation - other	Extra Copies: DATCP RCT
Pre Topic:	
DOA:Walker -	
Topic:	
Civil forfeitures for product safety, household hazardous subs	tance, and commercial feed laws
Instructions:	
See Attached	

<b>Drafting</b>	<b>History:</b>
-----------------	-----------------

Vers.	Drafted	Reviewed	Typed	Proofed	Submitted	<u>Jacketed</u>	Required
/?	traderc 11/13/2000 gibsom 11/16/2000						State
/1	rkite 12/08/2000	wjackson 12/12/2000	martykr 12/12/2000	0	lrb_docadmin 12/12/2000		State
/2	rkite 12/15/2000	wjackson 12/18/2000	martykr 12/20/2000	0	lrb_docadmin 12/20/2000		State

12/20/2000 11:06:32 AM Page .2

FE Sent For:

<END>

-	٠	1	1
•	*	а	п
-			

Received:	Received: <b>09/19/2000</b>				Received By: traderc				
Wanted: A	As time permi	ts		1	Identical to LRB:				
For: Adm	inistration-B	udget		]	By/Representing:	Walker			
This file may be shown to any legislator: NO					Drafter: rkite				
May Contact:				•	Alt. Drafters:				
Subject: Agriculture - miscellaneous Trade Regulation - other					Extra Copies:	DATCP RCT			
Pre Topie	c:			, , <u>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </u>					
DOA:	Walker -								
Topic:									
Civil forfo	eitures for pro	duct safety, hou	isehold haza	rdous substan	ce, and commercia	al feed laws			
Instructi	ons:								
See Attac	hed								
Drafting	History:								
Vers.	Drafted	Reviewed	Typed	Proofed	Submitted	Jacketed	Required		
/?	traderc 11/13/2000 gibsom 11/16/2000						State		
/1	rkite 12/08/2000	wjackson 12/12/2000	martykr 12/12/200	00	lrb_docadmin 12/12/2000		State		
FE Sent I	For:	/2 Wij 12/18	xm/g	Sm21	,				

Received: 09/19/2000

## 2001 DRAFTING REQUEST

Received By: traderc

_	
п	
ж	
- 11	

Wanted:	Vanted: As time permits				Identical to LRB:				
For: <b>Ad</b>	ministration-B	Sudget		By/Representing: Walker					
This file	may be shown	to any legislate	or: NO		Drafter: rkite				
May Co	ntact:				Alt. Drafters:				
Subject:		ture - miscella Regulation - otl			Extra Copies:	DATCP RCT			
Pre Top	pic:								
DOA:	Walker -								
Topic:	rfeitures for pro	duct safety, hou	usehold haza	ardous substar	nce, and commerc	ial feed laws			
Instruc	tions:								
See Atta	ached								
Draftin	g History:								
Vers.	Drafted	Reviewed .	Typed	Proofed	Submitted	Jacketed	Required		
/?	traderc 11/13/2000 gibsom 11/16/2000 rkite	/1 WLj 12/12	杨龙	PG 17 Kron 17	<u> </u>		State		
FE Sent	For								
· e sciil	101.			<end></end>					

# 18. Civil Forfeitures for Violations of Consumer Product Safety, Hazardous Household Substance and Commercial Feed Laws

### **Explanation:**

The department currently administers laws related to consumer product safety, hazardous household substances and commercial feed. Currently, there are criminal penalties, but no civil forfeiture penalties, for violations of these laws. The department proposes to create civil forfeiture penalties for violations of these laws. This would provide the department with a less harsh enforcement alternative in cases that do not warrant criminal prosecution. It would permit fairer and more effective enforcement, consistent with other consumer protection and agricultural regulations.

### **Statutory Changes:**

SECTION \_\_\_\_. 94.72(14)(c) of the statutes is created to read:

100.72(14)(c) The department or any district attorney may commence an action in the name of the state to recover a civil forfeiture to the state of not less than \$100 nor more than \$5,000 for each violation of this section, or a rule or order issued under this section.

[NOTE: See current criminal penalty under s. 94.72(14)(a), Stats.]

**SECTION** \_\_\_. 100.37(9) of the statutes is created to read:

100.37(9) The department or any district attorney may commence an action in the name of the state to recover a civil forfeiture to the state of not less than \$100 nor more than \$5,000 for each violation of this section, or a rule or order issued under this section.

[NOTE: See current criminal penalty under s. 100.26(1), Stats.]

**SECTION** \_\_\_\_. 100.42(6) of the statutes is created to read:

100.42(6) The department or any district attorney may commence an action in the name of the state to recover a civil forfeiture to the state of not less than \$100 nor more than \$5,000 for each violation of this section, or a rule or order issued under this section.

[NOTE: See current criminal penalty under s. 100.26(1), Stats.]

SECTION \_\_\_\_. 165.25(4)(ar) of the statutes is amended to read:

165.25(4)(ar) The department of justice shall furnish all legal services required by the department of agriculture, trade and consumer protection related to the enforcement of ss. 100.171, 100.173, 100.174, 100.175, 100.177, 100.18, 100.20, 100.205, 100.207, 100.209, 100.21, 100.28, 100.37, 100.42, 100.50 and 100.51 and chs. 136, 344, 704, 707 and 799, together with any other services as are necessarily connected to the legal services.



State of Misconsin 2001 - 2002 LEGISLATURE

D-Note

RMR /I LRB-0406/AM RNK..../:...

DOA:.....Walker – Civil forfeitures for product safety, household hazardous substance, and commercial feed laws

FOR 2001-03 BUDGET - NOT READY FOR INTRODUCTION

DO NOT GEN

AN ACT ...; relating to: the budget.

laws constituting the Federal

the department of agriculture, tade and consuprex protection. \\
Analysis by the Legislative Reference Bureau

AGRICULTURE

Under current law, a person is subject to a fine or imprisonment if the person violates certain laws enforced by DATCP including laws relating to the manufacture, distribution, and sale of commercial feed, laws relating to the safety of certain consumer products, and the hazardous substance act. This bill provides that a person who violates any of these laws may also be subject to a forfeiture.

Current law also provides that DOJ must furnish all legal services required by DATCP in the enforcement of certain laws including laws regulating various trade practices. This bill provides that, in addition to the laws specified under current law, DOJ must also furnish legal services required by DATCP in the enforcement of the hazardous substance act and laws relating to the safety of certain consumer products.

For further information see the *state* fiscal estimate, which will be printed as an appendix to this bill.

The people of the state of Wisconsin, represented in senate and assembly, do enact as follows:

SECTION 1. 94.72 (14) of the statutes is created to read:

2

1

*	1/am) A
1	94.72 (14) person who violates this section or an order issued or a rule
2 .	promulgated under this section is subject to a forfeiture of not less than \$100 nor
3	more than \$5,000 for each violation.
4	SECTION 2. 100.37 (8) of the statutes is renumbered 100.37 (8) (a) and amended
5	to read:
6	100.37 (8) (a) Whoever violates this section or an order issued or a rule
7	promulgated under this section may be fined not more than \$5,000 or imprisoned not
8	more than one year in the county jail or both.
9 9	Story: 1975 c. 94 s. 91 (10); 1975 c. 117; 1983 a. 189 ss. 140, 141, 329 (20); 1991 a. 39; 1993 a. 34; 1995 a. 225, 448.  SECTION 3. 100.37 (8) (b) of the statutes is created to read:
10	100.37 (8) (b) Any person who violates this section or an order issued or a rule
11	promulgated under this section is subject to a forfeiture of not less than \$100 nor
12	more than \$5,000 for each violation.
13	SECTION 4. 100.42 (6) of the statutes is created to read:
14	100.42 (6) PENALTIES. (a) Any person who violates this section shall be fined
15	an amount not to exceed \$200 or imprisoned in the county jail for not more than 6
16	months or both.
17	(b) Any person who violates this section or an order entered or a rule
18	promulgated under this section is subject to a forfeiture of not less than \$100 nor
19	more than \$5,000 for each violation.
20	SECTION 5. 165.25 (4) (ar) of the statutes is amended to read:
21	165.25 (4) (ar) The department of justice shall furnish all legal services
22	required by the department of agriculture, trade and consumer protection relating
23	to the enforcement of ss. 100.171, 100.173, 100.174, 100.175, 100.177, 100.18,

100.182, 100.20, 100.205, 100.207, 100.209, 100.21, 100.28, 100.37, 100.42, 100.50

(1)

and 100.51 and chs. 136, 344, 704, 707 and 779, together with any other services as

2 are necessarily connected to the legal services.

History: 1971 c. 125 s. 522 (1); 1971 c. 215; 1973 c. 333; 1975 c. 81, 199; 1977 c. 29 s. 1656 (27); 1977 c. 187, 260, 273, 344; 1981 c. 20, 62, 96; 1983 a. 27; 1983 a. 36 s. 96 (2), (3), (4); 1983 a. 192; 1985 a. 29, 66; 1987 a. 416; 1989 a. 31, 115, 187, 206, 359; 1991 a. 25, 39, 269; 1993 a. 27, 28, 365; 1995 a. 27 ss. 4453 to 4454m, 9126 (19); 1995 a. 201; 1997 a. 27, 111.

3

(END)

# DRAFTER'S NOTE FROM THE LEGISLATIVE REFERENCE BUREAU

LRB-0406/F164 RNK..../.....

#### William Walker:

Please note that in accordance with the proposed language for this draft, this draft specifies that the forfeitures created in the draft apply to not only violations of the applicable statute, but also to the violation of any order issued or rule promulgated under the statute. Current law, however, under s. 100.37 (8), stats., subjects a person to criminal penalties only if the person violates s. 100.37 (8). It does not specifically state whether the criminal penalties apply to a violation of an order issued or a rule promulgated under that statute. Accordingly, this draft also amends the criminal provisions to clarify that a person may also be fined for such violations. If this is not consistent with the intent of the request, please let me know and I will redraft accordingly.

Also, please note that under current law, a person who violates a provision of ch. 100 is subject to a fine or imprisonment or both if no specific penalty is otherwise prescribed. By creating a forfeiture under s. 100.42, an argument could be made that because a forfeiture is prescribed in that provision, the criminal penalty under s. 100.26 (1) does not apply to a violation of 100.42. Accordingly, this draft moves the criminal penalty for a violation of s. 100.42 from 100.26 (1) to s. 100.42 (6) (a) to clarify that both the civil and criminal penalties apply to violations of s. 100.42.

If you have any questions with regard to this draft, please feel free to contact me.

Robin N. Kite Legislative Attorney Phone: (608) 266–7291

E-mail: robin.kite@legis.state.wi.us

# DRAFTER'S NOTE FROM THE LEGISLATIVE REFERENCE BUREAU

LRB-0406/1dn RNK:wlj:km

December 12, 2000

#### William Walker:

Please note that in accordance with the proposed language for this draft, this draft specifies that the forfeitures created in the draft apply to not only violations of the applicable statute, but also to the violation of any order issued or rule promulgated under the statute. Current law, however, under s. 100.37 (8), stats., subjects a person to criminal penalties only if the person violates s. 100.37 (8). It does not specifically state whether the criminal penalties apply to a violation of an order issued or a rule promulgated under that statute. Accordingly, this draft also amends the criminal provisions to clarify that a person may also be fined for such violations. If this is not consistent with the intent of the request, please let me know and I will redraft accordingly.

Also, please note that under current law, a person who violates a provision of ch. 100 is subject to a fine or imprisonment or both if no specific penalty is otherwise prescribed. By creating a forfeiture under s. 100.42, an argument could be made that because a forfeiture is prescribed in that provision, the criminal penalty under s. 100.26 (1) does not apply to a violation of s. 100.42. Accordingly, this draft moves the criminal penalty for a violation of s. 100.42 from s. 100.26 (1) to s. 100.42 (6) (a) to clarify that both the civil and criminal penalties apply to violations of s. 100.42.

If you have any questions with regard to this draft, please feel free to contact me.

Robin N. Kite Legislative Attorney Phone: (608) 266–7291

E-mail: robin.kite@legis.state.wi.us

### Kite, Robin

From:

Matson, James K DATCP

Sent:

Thursday, December 14, 2000 2:36 PM

To:

Kite. Robin: Walker, William

Cc:

Knapp, Barb H DATCP; Oemichen, William L DATCP; Stoebig, Tom J DATCP; Haas, Teel D

DATCP; Ghilardi, David J DATCP; Moll, Keeley A DATCP

Subject:

Budget draft; civil forfeiture penalties for consumer product safety law violations.

We have reviewed the LRB redraft of our proposed budget legislation to create civil forteiture penalties for violations of consumer product safety, hazardous substance and commercial feed law violations (LRB-0406/1). We believe that the LRB draft improves and clarifies our original draft, with one important exception.

We believe that the civil forfeiture provisions under proposed ss. 94.72(14)(am), 100.37(8)(b) and 100.42(6)(b) of the LRB draft should be rephrased as follows, consistent with our original proposal:

"The department or any district attorney may commence an action forfeiture to the state of not less than \$100 nor more than \$5,000 for each issued under this section."

in the name of the state to recover a civil violation of this section, or a rule or order

This formulation is consistent with other consumer protection penalty provisions under ch. 100, Stats., and helps to ensure a clear delineation of consumer protection functions between DATCP and the Department of Justice. This formulation was deliberately used in the 1996 budget provisions that reconsolidated consumer protection programs in DATCP, and defined the respective roles of DATCP and DOJ under ch. 100, Stats.

Civil forfeiture actions must be brought in the name of the State of Wisconsin (see s. 778.02, Stats.). This formulation clarifies that DATCP is the state agency responsible for enforcement, and is authorized to bring civil forfeiture actions in the name of the state. DOJ may initiate a torreiture action under these statutes only at DATCP's request, as DATCP's attorney. If DOJ declines to initiate a civil forfeiture action on DATCP's behalf in an important case, DATCP may proceed independently.

DATCP normally works with DOJ or district attorneys to prosecute consumer protection cases. District attorneys have general authority to prosecute civil forfeiture cases. The reference to district attorneys in this formulation merely reaffirms that general authority, so as not to inadvertently limit it.

Given the history and current resolution of the consumer protection jurisdictional issue, we believe that this formulation is important -- at least for the consumer product safety and hazardous substance statutes. It clearly delineates state agency responsibilities, establishes an appropriate attorney-client relationship between DATCP and DOJ, is consistent with other consumer protection penalty provisions, and gives both agencies an incentive to work together. We would be glad to discuss this with you, as necessary.

-- Jim Matson, DATCP Counsel (224-5022)

### Kite, Robin

From:

Sent:

Walker, William Friday, December 15, 2000 1:19 PM

To: Subject: Kite, Robin RE: LRB-0406

Yes, please go ahead.

-----Original Message-----

From:

Kite, Robin

Sent:

Friday, December 15, 2000 1:17 PM

To: Subject: Walker, William LRB-0406

Bill,

As you know, Jim Matson, DATCP counsel, has asked that I redraft LRB-0406 to clarify that either a district attorney or DATCP may commence civil forfeiture actions under proposed ss. 94.72 (14) (am), 100.37 (8) (b) and 100.42 (6) (b). I am comfortable with making those changes if you concur with the request. Do you want me to proceed with that redraft?

Robin

Robin N. Kite, Legislative Attorney Wisconsin Legislative Reference Bureau P.O. Box 2037 Madison, Wisconsin 53/01-203/ (608) 266-7291

Sth

**2001 – 2002 LEGISLATURE** 

PINR /2-LRB-0406/F RNK:wlj:ktor/

DOA:.....Walker – Civil forfeitures for product safety, household hazardous substance, and commercial feed laws

FOR 2001-03 BUDGET — NOT READY FOR INTRODUCTION

AN ACT ...; relating to: the budget.

## Analysis by the Legislative Reference Bureau AGRICULTURE

Under current law, a person is subject to a fine or imprisonment if the person violates certain laws enforced by DATCP including laws relating to the manufacture, distribution, and sale of commercial feed, laws relating to the safety of certain consumer products, and laws constituting the federal Hazardous Substances Act. This bill provides that a person who violates any of these laws may also be subject to a forfeiture.

Current law also provides that DOJ must furnish all legal services required by DATCP in the enforcement of certain laws including laws regulating various trade practices. This bill provides that, in addition to the laws specified under current law, DOJ must also furnish legal services required by DATCP in the enforcement of the Hazardous Substances Act and laws relating to the safety of certain consumer products.

For further information see the **state** fiscal estimate, which will be printed as an appendix to this bill.

The people of the state of Wisconsin, represented in senate and assembly, do enact as follows:

1	SECTION 1. 94.72 (14) (am) of the statutes is created to read:
2	94.72 (14) (am) Aperson who violates this section or an order issued or a rule
3	promulgated under this section is subject to a forfeiture of not less than \$100 nor
4	(more than \$5,000 for each violation. Insert A)
5	SECTION 2. 100.37 (8) of the statutes is renumbered 100.37 (8) (a) and amended
6	to read:
7	100.37 (8) (a) Whoever violates this section or an order issued or a rule
8	promulgated under this section may be fined not more than \$5,000 or imprisoned not
9	more than one year in the county jail or both.
.0	SECTION 3. 100.37 (8) (b) of the statutes is created to read:
<b>l</b> 1	100.37 (8) (b) Any person who violates this section or an order issued or a rule
<b>12</b>	promulgated under this section is subject to a forfeiture of not less than \$100 nor
l3	more than \$5,000 for each violation Insert AD
<b>L</b> 4	SECTION 4. 100.42 (6) of the statutes is created to read:
<b>L</b> 5	100.42 (6) PENALTIES. (a) Any person who violates this section shall be fined
16	an amount not to exceed \$200 or imprisoned in the county jail for not more than 6
17	months or both.
18	(b) Any person who violates this section or an order entered or a rule
19	promulgated under this section is subject to a forfeiture of not less than \$100 nor
20	more than \$5,000 for each violation. [Insert A]
21	SECTION 5. 165.25 (4) (ar) of the statutes is amended to read:
22	165.25 (4) (ar) The department of justice shall furnish all legal services
23	required by the department of agriculture, trade and consumer protection relating
24	to the enforcement of ss. 100.171, 100.173, 100.174, 100.175, 100.177, 100.18,
25	100.182, 100.20, 100.205, 100.207, 100.209, 100.21, 100.28, 100.37, 100.42, 100.50,

1 and 100.51 and chs. 136, 344, 704, 707, and 779, together with any other services as

(END)

2 are necessarily connected to the legal services.

3

## 2001–2002 DRAFTING INSERT FROM THE

LRB-0406/2ins.

LEGISLATIVE REFERENCE BUREAU

Insert A

Use three times

The department or any district attorney may commence an action in the name of the state to recover a civil forfeiture to the state of not less than \$100 nor more than \$5,000 for each violation of this section, or a rule or order issued under this section.



1

State of Misconsin 2001 - 2002 LEGISLATURE

D-Note

RMR RNK: Wikko

DOA:.....Walker - Civil forfeitures for product safety, household hazardous substance, and commercial feed laws

FOR 2001-03 BUDGET — NOT READY FOR INTRODUCTION

AN ACT ...; relating to: the budget.

### Analysis by the Legislative Reference Bureau **AGRICULTURE**

Under current law, a person is subject to a fine or imprisonment if the person violates certain laws enforced by DATCP including laws relating to the manufacture, distribution, and sale of commercial feed, laws relating to the safety of certain consumer products, and laws constituting the federal Hazardous Substances Act. This bill provides that a person who violates any of these laws may also be subject to a forfeiture.

Current law also provides that DOJ must furnish all legal services required by DATCP in the enforcement of certain laws including laws regulating various trade practices. This bill provides that, in addition to the laws specified under current law, DOJ must also furnish legal services required by DATCP in the enforcement of the Hazardous Substances Act and laws relating to the safety of certain consumer products.

For further information see the **state** fiscal estimate, which will be printed as an appendix to this bill.

The people of the state of Wisconsin, represented in senate and assembly, do enact as follows:

1	SECTION 1. 94.72 (14) (am) of the statutes is created to read:
2	94.72 (14) (am) The department or any district attorney may commence an
3	action in the name of the state to recover a civil forfeiture to the state of not less than
4	\$100 nor more than \$5,000 for each violation of this section, or a rule or order issued
5	under this section.
6	SECTION 2. 100.37 (8) of the statutes is renumbered 100.37 (8) (a) and amended
7	to read:
8	100.37 (8) (a) Whoever violates this section or an order issued or a rule
9	promulgated under this section may be fined not more than \$5,000 or imprisoned not
10	more than one year in the county jail or both.
11	SECTION 3. 100.37 (8) (b) of the statutes is created to read:
12	100.37 (8) (b) The department or any district attorney may commence an action
13	in the name of the state to recover a civil forfeiture to the state of not less than \$100
14	nor more than \$5,000 for each violation of this section, or a rule or order issued under
15	this section.
16	<b>SECTION 4.</b> 100.42 (6) of the statutes is created to read:
17	100.42 (6) PENALTIES. (a) Any person who violates this section shall be fined
18	an amount not to exceed \$200 or imprisoned in the county jail for not more than 6
19	months or both.
20	(b) The department or any district attorney may commence an action in the
21	name of the state to recover a civil forfeiture to the state of not less than \$100 nor
22	more than \$5,000 for each violation of this section, or a rule or order issued under this
23	section.
24	Section 5. 165.25 (4) (ar) of the statutes is amended to read:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

165.25 (4) (ar) The department of justice shall furnish all legal services required by the department of agriculture, trade and consumer protection relating to the enforcement of ss. 100.171, 100.173, 100.174, 100.175, 100.177, 100.18, 100.182, 100.20, 100.205, 100.207, 100.209, 100.21, 100.28, 100.37, 100.42, 100.50, and 100.51 and chs. 136, 344, 704, 707, and 779, together with any other services as are necessarily connected to the legal services.

(END)

# DRAFTER'S NOTE FROM THE LEGISLATIVE REFERENCE BUREAU

LRB-0406/3dn RNK...:,\d

William Walker and Andrew Statz:

This draft reconciles LRB-0406, LRB-0392, and LRB-2156. All of these drafts should continue to appear in the compiled bill.

Robin N. Kite Legislative Attorney Phone: (608) 266–7291

E-mail: robin.kite@legis.state.wi.us

# DRAFTER'S NOTE FROM THE LEGISLATIVE REFERENCE BUREAU

LRB-0406/3dn RNK:jld:cmh

February 7, 2001

William Walker and Andrew Statz:

This draft reconciles LRB-0406, LRB-0392, and LRB-2156. All of these drafts should continue to appear in the compiled bill.

Robin N. Kite Legislative Attorney Phone: (608) 266–7291

E-mail: robin.kite@legis.state.wi.us



1

## State of Misconsin 2001 – 2002 LEGISLATURE

LRB-0406/8/ RNK:wlj&jld@mhy

D-Note

DOA:.....Walker – Civil forfeitures for product safety, household hazardous substance, and commercial feed laws

FOR 2001-03 BUDGET — NOT READY FOR INTRODUCTION

DO NOT GEN

AN ACT ...; relating to: the budget.

# Analysis by the Legislative Reference Bureau AGRICULTURE

Under current law, a person is subject to a fine or imprisonment if the person violates certain laws enforced by DATCP including laws relating to the manufacture, distribution, and sale of commercial feed, laws relating to the safety of certain consumer products, and laws constituting the federal Hazardous Substances Act. This bill provides that a person who violates any of these laws may also be subject to a forfeiture. The apreciation of the safety of the subject to a forfeiture.

Current law also provides that DOJ must furnish all legal services required by DATCP in the enforcement of certain laws including laws regulating various trade practices. This bill provides that, in addition to the laws specified under current law, DOJ must also furnish legal services required by DATCP in the enforcement of the Hazardous Substances Act and laws relating to the safety of certain consumer products.

For further information see the *state* fiscal estimate, which will be printed as an appendix to this bill.

The people of the state of Wisconsin, represented in senate and assembly, do enact as follows:

Insert1

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

**SECTION 1.** 94.72 (14) (am) of the statutes is created to read:

94.72 (14) (am) The department or any district attorney may commence an action in the name of the state to recover a civil forfeiture to the state of not less than \$100 nor more than \$5,000 for each violation of this section, or applied order issued under this section.

**SECTION 2.** 100.37 (8) of the statutes is renumbered 100.37 (8) (a) and amended to read:

100.37 (8) (a) Whoever violates this section or an order issued or a rule promulgated under this section may be fined not more than \$5,000 or imprisoned not more than one year in the county jail or both.

**SECTION 3.** 100.37 (8) (b) of the statutes is created to read:

100.37 (8) (b) The department or any district attorney may commence an action in the name of the state to recover a civil forfeiture to the state of not less than \$100 nor more than \$5,000 for each violation of this section, or affallation of this section.

**SECTION 4.** 100.42 (6) of the statutes is created to read:

100.42 (6) PENALTIES. (a) Any person who violates this section to be fined an amount not to exceed \$200 or imprisoned in the county jail for not more than 6 months or both.

(b) The department or any district attorney may commence an action in the name of the state to recover a civil forfeiture to the state of not less than \$100 nor more than \$5,000 for each violation of this section, or the trule of order issued under this section.

24

23

(END)

or a rule propulgated

### 2001–2002 DRAFTING INSERT FROM THE LEGISLATIVE REFERENCE BUREAU

LRB-0406/4ins.

Insert 2-1

华

SECTION 4 94.72 (14) (a) of the statutes is amended to read:

94.72 (14) (a) A person who violates this section or an order issued or a rule promulgated under this section shall may be fined not more than \$200 or imprisoned not more than 6 months or both.

History: 1975 c. 39, 198, 199; 1977 c. 29 s. 1650m (4); 1979 c. 34; 1983 a. 189; 1985 a. 138; 1991 a. 39, 112; 1995 a. 4; 1997 a. 27, 253; 1999 a. 9.

# DRAFTER'S NOTE FROM THE LEGISLATIVE REFERENCE BUREAU

LRB-0406/4dn RNK..../....

#### Bill Walker:

As we discussed, this redraft fitte clarifies the wording in the draft (and includes a new provision amending s. 94.72 (14) (a)) to provide that violators may be subject to a fine or a forfeiture rather than requiring the imposition of a fine. Also, earlier versions of the draft referred to "rules issued"; I corrected the draft so that the reference is to "rules promulgated";

Please let me know if you have any questions regarding this draft.

Robin N. Kite Legislative Attorney Phone: (608) 266–7291

E-mail: robin.kite@legis.statc.wi.us

# DRAFTER'S NOTE FROM THE LEGISLATIVE REFERENCE BUREAU

LRB-0406/4dn RNK:wlj:rs

February 14, 2001

#### Bill Walker:

As we discussed, this redraft clarifies the wording in the draft (and includes a new provision amending s. 94.72 (14) (a)) to provide that violators may be subject to a fine or a forfeiture rather than requiring the imposition of a fine. Also, earlier versions of the draft referred to "rules issued." I corrected the draft so that the reference is to "rules promulgated."

Please let me know if you have any questions regarding this draft.

Robin N. Kite Legislative Attorney Phone: (608) 266–7291

E-mail: robin.kite@legis.state.wi.us



1

### State of Misconsin 2001 - 2002 LEGISLATURE

LRB-0406/4 RNK:wlj&jld:rs

DOA:.....Walker – Civil forfeitures for product safety, household hazardous substance, and commercial feed laws

FOR 2001-03 BUDGET — NOT READY FOR INTRODUCTION

AN ACT ...; relating to: the budget.

## Analysis by the Legislative Reference Bureau AGRICULTURE

Under current law, a person is subject to a fine or imprisonment if the person violates certain laws enforced by DATCP including laws relating to the manufacture, distribution, and sale of commercial feed, laws relating to the safety of certain consumer products, and laws constituting the federal Hazardous Substances Act. This bill provides that a person who violates any of these laws may be subject to either a forfeiture or a fine.

Current law also provides that DOJ must furnish all legal services required by DATCP in the enforcement of certain laws including laws regulating various trade practices. This bill provides that, in addition to the laws specified under current law, DOJ must also furnish legal services required by DATCP in the enforcement of the Hazardous Substances Act and laws relating to the safety of certain consumer products.

For further information see the **state** fiscal estimate, which will be printed as an appendix to this bill.

The people of the state of Wisconsin, represented in senate and assembly, do enact as follows:

1	SECTION 1. 94.72 (14) (a) of the statutes is amended to read:
2	94.72 (14) (a) A person who violates this section or an order issued or a rule
3	promulgated under this section shall may be fined not more than \$200 or imprisoned
4	not more than 6 months or both.
5	SECTION 2. 94.72 (14) (am) of the statutes is created to read:
6	94.72 (14) (am) The department or any district attorney may commence an
7	action in the name of the state to recover a civil forfeiture to the state of not less than
8	\$100 nor more than \$5,000 for each violation of this section, or an order issued or a
9	rule promulgated under this section.
10	SECTION 3. 100.37 (8) of the statutes is renumbered 100.37 (8) (a) and amended
11	to read:
12	100.37 (8) (a) Whoever violates this section or an order issued or a rule
13	promulgated under this section may be fined not more than \$5,000 or imprisoned not
14	more than one year in the county jail or both.
15	SECTION 4. 100.37 (8) (b) of the statutes is created to read:
16	100.37 (8) (b) The department or any district attorney may commence an action
17	in the name of the state to recover a civil forfeiture to the state of not less than \$100
18	nor more than \$5,000 for each violation of this section, or an order issued or a rule
19	promulgated under this section.
20	SECTION 5. 100.42 (6) of the statutes is created to read:
21	100.42 (6) PENALTIES. (a) Any person who violates this section may be fined
22	an amount not to exceed \$200 or imprisoned in the county jail for not more than 6
23	months or both.
24	(b) The department or any district attorney may commence an action in the
25	name of the state to recover a civil forfeiture to the state of not less than \$100 nor

- 1 more than \$5,000 for each violation of this section, or an order issued or a rule
- 2 promulgated under this section.

3 (END)